Lipid-modifying effects of krill oil in humans: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Sorin Ursoniu, Amirhossein Sahebkar, Maria-Corina Serban, Diana Antal, Dimitri P. Mikhailidis, Arrigo Cicero, Vasilios Athyros, Manfredi Rizzo, Jacek Rysz, Maciej Banach; for the Lipid and Blood Pressure Meta-analysis Collaboration Group

> **Context:** Some experimental and clinical trials have shown that krill oil, extracted from small red crustaceans, might be an effective lipid-modifying agent, but the evidence is not conclusive. **Objective:** The effect of krill oil supplements on plasma lipid concentrations was assessed through a systematic review of the literature and a meta-analysis of available randomized controlled trials. Data sources: PubMed and Scopus were searched up to March 25, 2016, to identify RCTs investigating the effect of krill oil supplements on plasma lipids. Study selection: Randomized controlled trials that investigated the impact of at least 2 weeks of supplementation with krill oil on plasma/serum concentrations of at least one of the main lipid parameters (ie, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, or trialycerides) and that reported sufficient information on plasma/serum lipid levels at baseline and at the end of study in both krill oil and control groups were eligible for inclusion. Data extraction: Two reviewers independently extracted the following data: first author's name, year of publication, study location, study design, number of participants in the krill oil and control groups, dosage of krill oil, type of control allocation, treatment duration, demographic characteristics of study participants, and baseline and follow-up plasma concentrations of lipids. Effect size was expressed as the weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI). Results: Meta-analysis of data from 7 eligible trials (14 treatment arms) with 662 participants showed a significant reduction in plasma concentrations of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (WMD, -15.52 mg/dL; 95%Cl, -28.43 to -2.61; P = 0.018) and trialycerides (WMD, -14.03 mg/dL; 95%Cl, -21.38 to -6.67; P < 0.001) following supplementation with krill oil. A significant elevation in plasma concentrations of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol was also observed (WMD, 6.65 mg/dL; 95%Cl, 2.30 to 10.99; P = 0.003), while a reduction in plasma concentrations of total cholesterol did not

Affiliation: *S. Ursoniu* is with the Department of Functional Sciences, Discipline of Public Health, Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania. *A. Sahebkar* is with the Biotechnology Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. *M.-C. Serban* is with the Department of Functional Sciences, Discipline of Pathophysiology, Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania. *D. Antal* is with the Discipline of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania. *D. Antal* is with the Discipline of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania. *D. P. Mikhailidis* is with the Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Royal Free Campus, University College London Medical School, University College London, London, United Kingdom. *A. Cicero* is with the Medical & Surgical Sciences Department, Alma Mater Studiorum – University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. *V. Athyros* is with the Second Propedeutic Department of Internal Medicine, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Hippocration General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece. *M. Rizzo* is with the Biomedical Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy. *J. Rysz* and *M. Banach* are with the Department of Hypertension, Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland.

Correspondence: *M. Banach*, Department of Hypertension, WAM University Hospital, Medical University of Lodz, Zeromskiego 113, 90-549 Lodz, Poland. Email: maciejbanach@aol.co.uk. Phone: +48-42-639-37-71.

Key words: Euphausiacea krill, krill oil, meta-analysis, plasma lipids.

© The Author(s) 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the International Life Sciences Institute. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

reach statistical significance (WMD, -7.50 mg/dL; 95%Cl, -17.94 to 2.93; P = 0.159). **Conclusion:** Krill oil supplementation can reduce low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides. Additional clinical studies with more participants are needed to assess the impact of krill oil supplementation on other indices of cardiometabolic risk and on the risk of cardiovascular outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Krill are red shrimp-like crustaceans that live in the cold waters of the southern (Antarctic) and northern (Arctic) polar seas.¹ Although krill is the main food source for whales, it remains an abundant biomass on earth because of its high proliferation properties.² Krill have a short lifespan (1-2 years) and, because they live in clean waters, are free of heavy metals, pesticides, and dioxins.² The major krill species, Euphausia superba, known as Antarctic krill, is the source of extracted krill oil.³ Many experimental and clinical trials have reported a protective role of krill oil in chronic inflammation,² dyslipidemia,⁴ premenstrual syndrome,⁵ osteosarcoma,⁶ and rheumatoid arthritis.⁷ Krill oil is rich in phospholipids that contain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, mainly eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA).8 It also contains various potent antioxidants, such as the carotenoid astaxanthin, and vitamins A and E.9 The association between phospholipids and long-chain n-3 fatty acids greatly facilitates the passage of fatty acid molecules through the intestinal wall, thereby increasing their bioavailability and improving the ratio of n-3 to n-6 fatty acids.^{3,10}

Most of krill oil's benefits have been attributed to its high EPA and DHA content.¹¹ The well-recognized metabolic effects of EPA and DHA include lowering of triglyceride (TG) and very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels.¹² Choline, an ingredient in krill oil, reduces homocysteine, transports different lipids, and is involved in the synthesis of neurotransmitters (acetylcholine) and phospholipids (sphingomyelin, lyso-phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylcholine, and choline plasmalogen).¹³

The lipid-modifying effects of krill oil have been demonstrated in some trials, but the data are still inconclusive. Therefore, all published trials on krill oil supplementation were reviewed systematically and assessed to determine the overall efficacy of krill oil on plasma lipids using meta-analysis.

METHODS

Search strategy

The Scopus and MEDLINE databases were searched using the following search terms in titles and abstracts (also in combination with MeSH terms): ("randomized controlled trial" OR "randomized" OR "placebo" OR "cholesterol" OR "triglyceride" OR "LDL" OR "LDL-C" OR "LDL-cholesterol" OR "HDL" OR "HDL-C" OR "HDL-cholesterol" OR "HDL" OR "HDL-C" OR "HDL-cholesterol" OR "hyperlipidemia" OR "hyperlipidemic" OR "hypolipidemic" OR "dyslipidemia" OR "dyslipidemic") and ("krill oil" OR "euphausiaceae" OR "euphausia superba"). The wild-card term "*" was used to increase the sensitivity of the search strategy. The literature search was limited to papers published in English and to studies conducted in humans. The literature was searched from inception to March 25, 2016.

Two investigators (S.U. and M-C.S.) independently assessed each article, carried out data extraction, and performed quality assessment. Disagreements were resolved by consensus and discussion with a third party (M.B.).

The PICOS (Participants, Intervention/exposure, Comparison, Outcomes, Study design) criteria used to define the research question are shown in Table 1.

Study selection

The following criteria were used for the inclusion of original articles in the meta-analysis: a randomized controlled design; investigation of the effects of krill oil on plasma/ serum concentrations of at least one of the main lipid parameters (ie, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), or TGs; measurement of either plasma/serum concentrations of lipids at baseline and at the end of study in both krill oil and control groups or the net change in lipid levels during the study; and administration of krill oil for a period of at least 2 weeks. The following exclusion criteria were applied: experimental studies; uncontrolled trials; administration of krill oil preparations for <2 weeks; and lack of reporting of baseline or follow-up lipid concentrations or the net change in lipid levels during the study.

Data extraction

Studies meeting the inclusion criteria were reviewed, and data regarding authors, study location, publication

Table 1 PICOS criteria	a for inclusion and	exclusion of studies
------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Parameter	Description
Participants	Healthy individuals and dyslipidemic individuals
Intervention/exposure	Krill oil supplementation administered for at least 2 wk
Comparison	Individuals supplemented with krill oil vs control individuals
Outcomes	Plasma/serum concentrations of at least one of the main lipid parameters (ie, total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, or triglycerides)
Study design	Randomized controlled trials with a parallel or crossover design

date, size of study population, trial design, dose and duration of intervention, control group allocation, baseline characteristics of study population (including age, gender, and body mass index), and changes in plasma concentrations of lipids were extracted.

Quality assessment

Risk of bias in the studies considered in this metaanalysis was evaluated according to the Cochrane instructions.¹⁵ Selection bias, performance bias, attrition bias, detection bias, reporting bias, and other sources of bias were judged to be high, low, or unclear in each of the studies included.

Quantitative data synthesis

Comprehensive Meta-Analysis V2 software (Biostat, NJ, USA)¹⁶ was used for statistical procedures. Plasma concentrations of lipids and lipoproteins were collated in milligram per deciliter. Inverse variance-weighted mean differences (WMDs) and 95% confidence limits were used as the summary statistics and calculated as previously described,^{17,18} considering a correlation coefficient of 0.5. When standard deviation values were not reported in a study, they were imputed by the pooled standard deviations from other studies.

Meta-analysis was performed under fixed- and random-effects models when I^2 (heterogeneity) values were <50% and $\geq50\%$, respectively. For trials with more than one treatment arm and a single control group, the number of subjects in the control group was divided into a corresponding number of smaller groups. In order to evaluate the influence of each study on the overall effect size, sensitivity analysis was conducted using the one-study remove (leave-one-out) approach. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis is a simple method to check if the overall estimate of effect is significantly driven by a single study or treatment arm. In this method, all included treatment arms are iteratively removed from the analysis, the effect size is calculated, and the significance of the pooled estimate is evaluated.19

Meta-regression

As potential confounders of treatment response, dosage and duration of supplementation with krill oil were entered into a meta-regression model to explore their association with the estimated effect size in each lipid species.

Publication bias

Funnel plot evaluation, Begg's rank correlation, and Egger's weighted regression tests were employed to assess the presence of publication bias in the metaanalysis. When there was evidence of funnel plot asymmetry, potentially missing studies were imputed using the trim-and-fill method. In the case of a significant result, the number of potentially missing studies required to make the P value nonsignificant was estimated using the fail-safe N method as another marker of publication bias.^{20–23}

RESULTS

Search results and trial flow

The initial screening for potential relevance removed the articles whose titles and/or abstracts were obviously irrelevant. Of the 15 full-text articles assessed for eligibility, 8 were excluded for the following reasons: did not measure plasma lipid levels (n = 4), investigated bioavailability of fatty acids only (n = 1), and did not have an appropriate randomized controlled trial [RCT] design) (n = 3) (Figure 1).

After assessment, 7 RCTs (equivalent to 14 treatment arms) met the inclusion criteria and were used for the final meta-analysis. In total, 427 participants were allocated to a krill oil supplementation group and 235 to a control group in the selected studies.^{4,24–29} The number of participants in these trials ranged from 20 to 267. Included studies were published between 2004 and 2015 and were conducted in Canada (n = 3), Norway (n = 2), and the United States (n = 2). All studies used 500-mg capsules or softgels of krill oil, and the dosages ranged from 500 mg/d to 4 g/d. Duration of supplementation with krill oil ranged between 4 weeks and



Figure 1 Flow diagram of the literature search process.

3 months. Four trials were designed as parallel-group studies and 3 had a crossover design. Three studies were multicenter. Demographic and baseline parameters of the included studies are shown in Table 2.^{4,24–29} The common side effects usually observed after administration of fish oil supplements, such as reflux, fishy aftertaste, or belching of fish flavors, were not reported after krill oil intake. Except for a few cases of mild to moderate gastrointestinal symptoms, krill oil was safe and well tolerated in all of the RCTs included in this review. No adverse events related to the supplementation were reported.

Risk-of-bias assessment

The risk of bias with respect to sequence generation and allocation concealment was unclear, but studies were of low risk in terms of other sources of bias. The systematic assessment of bias in the included studies is shown in Table 3.^{4,24–29}

Quantitative data synthesis

Total cholesterol. The meta-analysis of RCTs showed a reduction in plasma total cholesterol levels following supplementation with krill oil, but this reduction did not reach statistical significance (WMD, -7.5 mg/dL;

95%CI, -17.94 to 2.93; P = 0.159) (Figure 2). This effect size was robust in the sensitivity analysis (Figure 3). The effect of krill oil on plasma total cholesterol concentrations was not different in subgroups of RCTs with dosages of either <2 g/d or $\geq 2 \text{ g/d}$. With respect to treatment duration, there was a significant reduction in total cholesterol concentrations in the subset of RCTs lasting ≥ 12 weeks but not in the subset lasting <12weeks (Table 4).

Visual inspection of the funnel plot as well as the results of Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression tests suggested potential publication bias in the meta-analysis of krill oil's effects on plasma total cholesterol concentrations (Table 5 and Figure 4).

Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. A significant reduction in plasma concentrations of LDL-C was observed following supplementation with krill oil (WMD, -15.52 mg/dL; 95%CI, -28.43 to -2.61; P=0.018) (Figure 2). This effect size was sensitive to one of the included studies⁴ (Figure 3). The effect of krill oil on LDL-C concentrations did not reach statistical significance in subgroups of RCTs with dosages of either <2 g/d or $\ge 2 \text{ g/d}$. Regarding treatment duration, there was a significant reduction in plasma LDL-C in the subset of RCTs with supplementation durations ≥ 12 weeks

Table 2 Demographic character	istics and baseline param	eters of the included	studies				
Study	Berge et al. (2014) ²⁴	Bunea et al. (2004) ⁴	Maki et al. (2009) ²⁵	Ramprasath et al. (2013) ²⁶	Ulven et al. (2011) ²⁷	Lobraico et al. (2015) ²⁸	Ramprasath et al. (2015) ²⁹
Location	Norway	Canada	USA	Canada	Norway	USA	Canada
Design	Multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled parallel trial	Multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled parallel trial	Multicenter randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled parallel trial	Randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled cross- over trial	Randomized par- allel group trial	Randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled cross- over trial	Randomized double-blind placebo-con- trolled cross- over trial
Duration of trial Inclusion criteria	12 wk Subjects aged 21-79 y with a low habitual fatty fish and seafood intake (defined as in- take of fatty fish and sea- food ≤2 times per month) and borderline high or high fasting serum TG levels (defined as a fasting TG level of 150- 499 mg/dL at screening visit, inclusive)	3 mo Patients aged 18–85 y with at least a 6-mo diagnosis of mildly high to very high blood choles- terol (193.9– 347.9 mg/dL) and TG levels (203.8– 354.4 mg/dL)	4 wk Healthy men and women aged 35–64 y with a waist circum- ference of (men) or (women) (women)	4 wk Healthy volunteers	7 wk Healthy volun- teers of both genders with normal or slightly ele- vated total blood choles- terol (<7.5 mmol/L) and normal or slightly ele- vated blood triglyceride level (<4.0 mmol/L)	4 wk Adults diagnosed with type 2 diabetes who were on oral glucose-lower- ing agents and/or insulin that could be confirmed by a healthcare provider	4 wk Healthy males and non- pregnant women aged 18–49 y
Krill oil form	500-mg capsule	500-mg softgel	500-mg capsule	500-mg capsule	500-mg capsule	500-mg capsule	500-mg
Krill oil intervention	500 mg/d 1 g/d 2 g/d	1 g/d 1.5 g/d 2 g/d 3 g/d	2 g/d	3 g/d	3 g/d	1 g/d	1.5 g/d 3 g/d
No. of participants Cas	es 53 51 58	23 19 11	25	24	36	47	20
Cor	itrols 52	30	25		37		

(continued)

Table 2 Continued								
Study		Berge et al. (2014) ²⁴	Bunea et al. (2004) ⁴	Maki et al. (2009) ²⁵	Ramprasath et al. (2013) ²⁶	Ulven et al. (2011) ²⁷	Lobraico et al. (2015) ²⁸	Ramprasath et al. (2015) ²⁹
Location		Norway	Canada	USA	Canada	Norway	USA	Canada
Age (y) ^a	Cases	46.4 ± 12.7 40.5 ± 13.1 44.3 ± 12.1 45.5 ± 11.9	51 ± 9.46	$49.4 \pm 1.7^{\rm b}$	28.23 ± 5.35^{b}	40.3 ± 14.8	64.8 ± 8.8	NS
Male (%)	Controls Cases	43.5 ± 11 66 64 73 67	NS	47.4 ± 1.6 ^b 12.0	50.0	40.5 ± 12.1 29.5	66.0	55.0
BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	Controls Cases	65 29.9 ± 3.3	25.4 ± 3.9	20.0 32.6 ± 1.5 ^b	23.76 ± 2.96^{b}	33.3 23.6 ±3 .3	30.5 ± 6.9	23.79 ± 0.71 ^b
		29.0 ± 3.3 29.7 ± 2.6	(male) 28.2 ± 5.1					23.62 ± 0.68^{b}
Total cholesterol (mg/dL) ^a	Controls Cases	29.3 ± 2.9 30.2 ± 3.0 221.1 ± 37.1	(Temale) 235.83 ^c	$33.3 \pm 1.7^{\rm b}$ 201.6 ± 6.1 ^b	168.85 ± 5.45 ^b	23.9 ± 3.0 192.61 ± 31.46	153.7 ± 32.6	$\begin{array}{l} 23.61 \pm 0.63^{b} \\ 173.62 \pm 7.06^{b} \end{array}$
		209.4 ± 40.3 221.4 ± 36.1	231.19 ^c 247.42 ^c					168.02 ± 6.26^{b}
LDL-C (mg/dL) ^a	Controls Cases	216.2 ± 31.2 207.9 ± 32.4 132.7 ± 4.6 120.8 ± 4.6	250.52 ^c 221.91 ^c 167.78 ^c	$201.2 \pm 7.3^{\rm b}$ 129.7 $\pm 5.5^{\rm b}$	$172.55 \pm 5.69^{\rm b}$ 93.05 \pm 4.66 ^b	$\begin{array}{l} 191.07 \pm 35.70 \\ 118.50 \pm 27.95 \end{array}$	78.7 ± 28.4	$169.56 \pm 5.53^{\rm b}$ $96.93 \pm 7.25^{\rm b}$
		120.0 - 4.0 133.1 + 4.7 125.8 + 4.4	182.86 ^c 172.81 ^c					94.28 ± 5.47^{b}
HDL-C (mg/dL) ^a	Controls Cases	122.8 ± 4.7 44.5 ± 10.8 42.5 ± 10.8	136.47 ^c 57.22 ^c	125.5 ± 6.6^{b} 46.5 ± 2.8^{b}	$95.03 \pm 4.82^{\rm b}$ $55.74 \pm 2.91^{\rm b}$	$\begin{array}{c} 115.03 \pm 31.81 \\ 57.90 \pm 14.20 \end{array}$	44.6 ± 13.7	93.89 ± 5.07^{b} 55.48 ± 3.33^{b}
		42.1 - 10.0 42.1 - 9.2 46.2 + 10	51.03 ^c 51.03 ^c 64.18 ^c					54.52 ± 3.14^{b}
TGs (mg/dL) ^a	Controls Cases	39.5 ± 9.3 230.1 ± 71.4	56.83 ^c 120.50 ^c	$45.4 \pm 2.1^{\rm b}$ 126.9 \pm 9.3 ^{\rm b}	56.22 ± 3.38^{b} 101.10 \pm 10.53 ^b	61.37 ± 13.66 97.35 ± 56.46	155.6 ± 95.3	$\begin{array}{l} 54.71 \pm 3.02^{b} \\ 106.2 \pm 9.62^{b} \end{array}$
		237.4 ± 73.1	126./0 ⁻ 160.37 ^c					98.02 ± 11.76^{b}
	Controls	220.2 ± 03 236.5 ± 80.9	143.53 ^c	152.8 ± 18.1 ^b	103.41 ± 9.84^{b}	81.42 ± 36.64		99.91 ± 10.02 ^b
Abbreviations: SD: standard c cholesterol: TG. triglyceride.	deviation; SEN	A: standard error of t	che mean; BMI: body m	iass index; NS: not stat	ed; LDL-C: low-density l	ipoprotein cholesterol	I; HDL-C: high-density	lipoprotein

 $\frac{1}{2}$ where $\frac{1}{2}$ is a subscription of the set of the set

Nutrition Reviews® Vol. 75(5):361-373

Table 3 Risk of bias in the included studies as assessed using Cochrane criteria

Reference	Sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding of participants	Blinding of outcome	Incomplete outcome	Selective outcome	Other potential threats
			and personner	assessment	uata	reporting	
Berge et al. (2014) ²⁴	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Bunea et al. (2004) ⁴	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Maki et al. (2009) ²⁵	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ramprasath et al. (2013) ²⁶	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ulven et al. (2011) ²⁷	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Lobraico et al. (2015) ²⁸	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Ramprasath et al (2015) ²⁹	Unclear	Unclear	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

but not in the subset with supplementation lasting <12 weeks (Table 4).

Visual inspection of the funnel plot as well as the results of Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression tests suggested potential publication bias in the meta-analysis of krill oil's effects on plasma LDL-C concentrations (Table 4 and Figure 4).

High-density lipoprotein cholesterol. The meta-analysis of RCT data suggested a significant elevation in plasma HDL-C concentrations following krill oil supplementation (WMD, 6.65 mg/dL; 95%CI, 2.30–10.99; P = 0.003) (Figure 2). This effect size was robust in the sensitivity analysis, and iterative removal of each single study from the meta-analysis did not affect the statistical significance of the effect size (Figure 3). In the subgroup analysis, there was a significant increase in plasma HDL-C concentrations in the subset of trials with krill oil dosages of $\geq 2 g/d$ but not in the subset with dosages of <2 g/d. With respect to the duration of supplementation, HDL-C elevations remained significant in both study subsets with supplementation durations of <12 weeks and ≥ 12 weeks, though a greater reduction was observed in the latter subgroup (Table 4).

Visual inspection of the funnel plot as well as the results of Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression tests suggested potential publication bias in the meta-analysis of krill oil's effects on plasma HDL-C concentrations (Table 5 and Figure 4).

Triglycerides. A significant reduction in plasma TG concentrations following krill oil supplementation was found in the meta-analysis of RCTs (WMD, -14.03 mg/dL; 95%CI, -21.38 to -6.67; P < 0.001) (Figure 2). This effect size was robust in the sensitivity analysis, and iterative removal of each single study from the meta-analysis did not affect the statistical significance of the effect size (Figure 3). In the subgroup analysis, there was a significant reduction in plasma TG concentrations in both subsets of trials with krill oil doses of <2 g/d and $\geq 2 \text{ g/d}$. However, with respect to supplementation duration, significant reduction of plasma TG concentrations was observed only in the

subset of RCTs lasting ≥ 12 weeks and not in the subset lasting <12 weeks (Table 4). Although the results of Begg's rank correlation and Egger's linear regression tests did not indicate any publication bias (Table 5), the funnel plot was slightly asymmetric, suggesting potential publication bias in the meta-analysis of krill oil's effects on plasma TG concentrations. Using Duval and Tweedie trim-and-fill correction, 3 potentially missing studies were imputed on the left side of funnel plot, yielding a corrected effect size of -15.12 mg/dL(95%CI, -22.00 to -8.23) (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

To the best of knowledge, the current systematic review and meta-analysis is the first to analyze evidence from RCTs on the effect of krill oil supplementation on plasma lipids. The results showed a significant reduction in plasma concentrations of LDL-C and TGs and a significant elevation in plasma HDL-C concentrations following supplementation with krill oil, while a reduction in plasma total cholesterol levels did not reach statistical significance. When the studies were stratified according to their duration, there was a significant reduction in total cholesterol, LDL-C, and TGs in the subset of RCTs lasting \geq 12 weeks but not in the subset lasting <12 weeks, while HDL-C elevations remained significant in both study subsets.

The favorable effects of krill oil on the lipid profile found in the current meta-analysis suggest the use of this marine product as an interesting add-on therapy in dyslipidemic patients. However, the exact mechanisms responsible for the lipid-lowering effects of krill oil are not completely understood. It has been shown that krill oil acts more as an antihyperlipidemic product than a hypolipidemic one, since TGs and cholesterol levels are lowered in patients with elevated blood lipids, but not in healthy volunteers.²⁶ It has been proved that krill oil reduces the expression of genes required for lipid and isoprenoid/cholesterol synthesis.³⁰ In contrast, fish oil modulates expression of several genes, such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)- α , sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBP)-1, and

тс



Favors Krill oil Favors Control

LDL-C

Study name				Statist	ics for each study	y				Differen	nce in means and	d 95% CI	
		Difference in means	Standard	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z value	P value					
Berge et al., 2014a	per. 24)	-2.310	10.273	105.530	-22.444	17.824	-0.225	0.822	1	1	_	1	- E
Berge et al., 2014b	(ref. 24)	6.920	10.868	118.115	-14.381	28.221	0.637	0.524				88	I
Berge et al., 2014c 4	(ref. 24)	-2.310	10.482	109.870	-22.854	18.234	-0.220	0.826			_		I
Berge et al., 2014d	(ref. 24)	3.080	9.414	88.627	-15.371	21.531	0.327	0.744			_		I
Bunea et al., 2014a	(ret. 4)	-71.510	15.435	238.240	-101.762	-41.258	-4.633	0.000	-	-	E C		I
Bunea et al., 2014b	(ref. 4)	-76.590	18.429	339.636	-112.711	-40.469	-4.156	0.000					I
Bunea et al., 2014c	(ref. 4)	-86.210	15.737	247.662	-117.055	-55.365	-5.478	0.000					I
Bunea et al., 2014d	(ref. 4)	-85.430	16.937	286.854	-118.625	-52.235	-5.044	0.000					I
Maki et al., 2009	(ref. 25)	1,210	4.694	22.033	-7.990	10,410	0.258	0.797			-		I
Rampresath et al., 201	13 (ref. 26)	10.040	7.550	57.004	-4.758	24.838	1.330	0.184					I
Ulven et al., 2011	(ref. 27)	1.550	7.127	50.791	-12.418	15.518	0.217	0.828			_		I
Lobraico et al., 2015	(rel. 28)	4.090	4.348	18.902	-4.431	12.611	0.941	0.347			-		I
Ramprasath et al., 201	158 (rel. 29	9 8.830	10.532	110,933	-11.813	29,473	0.838	0.402			_		I
Ramprasath et al., 201	15b (ref. 29	4.980	12.345	152,391	-19.215	29.175	0.403	0.687			_	18	I
		-15.519	6.588	43.401	-28.432	-2.607	-2.356	0.018	1000		● □		
									-120.00	-60.00	0.00	60.00	120.0

Favors Krill oil Favors Control

HDL-C

Study name			Statistic	es for each stud	У				Differe	nce in means and	1 95% CI
D	Difference In means	Standard	Variance	Lower	Upper	Z value	P value				
Berge et al., 2014a (ret. 24)	-0.490	3.365	11.325	-7.086	6.106	-0.146	0.884	1	1	-	1
Berge et al., 2014b (ret. 24)	-0.490	3.432	11.780	-7.217	6.237	-0.143	0.885			_	
Berge et al., 2014c (ref. 24)	-0.980	3.013	9.076	-6.885	4.925	-0.325	0.745			_	
Berge et al., 2014d (ref. 24)	-0.980	3.160	9.985	-7.173	5.213	-0.310	0.756			_	-
Bunea et al., 2014a (ref. 4)	25,260	6.452	41.635	12.613	37.907	3.915	0.000			- T	
Bunea et al., 2014b (ref. 4)	25.260	8.217	67.521	9.155	41.365	3.074	0.002			_	_
Bunea et al., 2014c (ref. 4)	28.350	6.631	43.973	15.353	41.347	4.275	0.000				_
Bunea et al., 2014d (ref. 4)	38.400	7.340	53.868	24.015	52.785	5.232	0.000				
Maki et al., 2009 (ref. 28)	2.350	1.268	1.609	-0.136	4.836	1.853	0.064				
Ramprasath et al., 2013 (ref. 26)	3.380	4.353	18.947	-5.151	11.911	0.777	0.437			_	
Ulven et al., 2011 (ret. 27)	3.470	3.796	14.413	-3.971	10.911	0.914	0.361			_	
Lobraico et al., 2015 (ref. 28)	0.990	3.005	9.032	-4.900	6.880	0.329	0.742			_	
Ramprasath et al., 2015a (ret. 29)	2.900	5.408	29.251	-7.700	13.500	0.536	0.592				20 A
Ramprasath et al., 2015b (ref. 29)	0.390	5.860	34.334	-11.094	11.874	0.067	0.947			_	
	6.647	2.217	4.916	2.301	10.993	2.998	0.003	1	1	T	1

Favors Krill oil Favors Control

TG

Study name			Statist	ics for each study	1				Differen	nce in means and	d 95% CI	
	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z value	P value					
Berge et al., 2014a (ref. 2-	-29.400	3.933	15.468	-37.109	+21.691	-7.475	0.000	1	1.1	- I	1	1
Berge et al., 2014b (ret. 2)	-15.500	3.956	15.649	-23.253	-7.747	-3.918	0.000			-		- L
Berge et al., 2014c (ref. 2	4) -22.500	3.946	15.569	-30.234	-14.766	-6.702	0.000			- L		
Berge et al., 2014d (ref. 2-	4) -4.300	3.746	14.030	-11.641	3.041	-1.148	0.251			_		
Bunea et al., 2014a (ret.4	0.880	34.935	1220.471	-67.592	69.352	0.025	0.980		2			
Bunea et al., 2014b (ret. 4	0.890	43.579	1899.132	-86.303	84.523	-0.020	0.984		_	_	_	- L
Bunea et al., 2014c (ref. 4	-30.130	35.808	1282.229	-100.313	40.053	-0.841	0.400	-			_	
Bunea et al., 2014d (ref. 4	-26.330	39.273	1542.390	-103.304	50.644	-0.670	0.603		_		_	
Maki et al., 2009 (ref. 25	-6.540	14.882	221.468	-35.708	22.628	-0.439	0.660					
Ramprasath et al., 2013	wi. 28) -1.280	14.025	196.692	-28.768	26.208	-0.091	0.927			_		
Ulven et al., 2011 0	ef. 27) -8.850	11.719	137.345	-31.820	14.120	-0.755	0.450					
Lobraico et al., 2015 #	ref. 28) 6.240	19.187	368.124	-31.365	43.845	0.325	0.745			_	_	
Ramprasath et al., 2015a (ret. 29) -4.720	18.457	340.667	-40.895	31.455	-0.256	0.798		-	_		
Ramprasath et al., 2015b (ref. 29) -6.590	17.650	311.506	-41.182	28.002	-0.373	0.709		-	_		
	-14.026	3.751	14.070	-21.378	-6.674	-3.739	0.000	22.0		•		
								-120.00	-60.00	0.00	60.00	120.0

Favors Krill oil Favors Control

Figure 2 Forest plots detailing weighted mean differences and 95% confidence intervals for the effect of krill oil supplementation on plasma lipid concentrations. *Abbreviations:* HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

TC

Study name



LDL-C



Favors Krill oil Favors Control

120.0

Standard						
nt error	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z value	P value	
30 7.054	49.764	-30.657	-3.004	-2.386	0.017	1 1 1 1
71 7.003	49.038	-31.196	-3.746	-2.495	0.013	
14 7.045	49.638	-30.623	-3.005	-2.387	0.017	
29 7.083	50.167	-31.211	-3.447	-2.447	0.014	
08 6.185	38.250	-23.329	0.914	-1.812	0.070	
09 6.286	39.615	-23.929	0.712	-1.847	0.065	
38 5.851	34.236	-21.406	1.530	-1.698	0.089	
84 6.008	36.091	-22.258	1.291	-1.745	0.081	
50 7.692	59.173	-33.026	-2.873	-2.333	0.020	
50 7.121	50.711	-32.007	-4.093	-2.535	0.011	
81 7.259	52.691	-31.708	-3.254	-2.408	0.016	
24 7.699	59.267	-33.313	-3.135	-2.367	0.018	
38 7.001	49.019	-31.361	-3.916	-2.519	0.012	
04 6.967	48.540	-30.859	-3.549	-2,469	0.014	
19 6.588	43.401	-28.432	-2.607	-2.356	0.018	
371200385582301	t error 0 7.064 1 7.003 4 7.045 19 7.083 19 7.083 19 6.286 10 7.692 10 7.692 10 7.121 11 7.259 18 7.001 14 6.987 19 6.588	error Variance 0 7.054 48.764 11 7.003 49.038 14 7.045 48.638 19 7.083 50.167 18 6.185 38.250 19 6.236 38.051 14 6.008 34.023 10 7.121 50.711 11 7.259 52.691 14 6.967 48.540 9 6.868 43.401	t error Variance limit 0 7.054 43.764 -30.657 1 7.003 49.038 -31.199 4 7.045 48.038 -30.623 9 7.083 50.167 -31.211 9 6.186 38.550 -23.329 9 6.286 38.619 -23.529 9 6.286 34.639 -21.400 14 6.008 36.091 -22.258 0 7.121 50.711 -33.0207 11 7.299 52.691 -31.704 14 7.669 82.927 -33.33.13 16 7.069 82.927 -33.30.204 14 6.967 44.540 -30.659 9 6.88 43.401 -26.895	t error Variance limit limit 00 7.054 49.764 -30.654 -30.064 11 7.003 49.038 -30.196 -3.746 4 7.043 49.038 -30.623 -3.006 9 7.083 80.167 -31.211 -3.447 9 6.265 39.615 -22.329 0.712 9 6.265 39.615 -22.258 1.291 00 7.622 98.171 -33.007 -4.093 11 7.299 52.661 -32.207 -3.313 0 7.699 59.267 -33.313 -3.156 4 7.699 59.267 -33.313 -3.546 9 6.586 43.401 -20.869 -3.649 9 6.88 43.401 -20.869 -3.549	error Variance limit imit Z value 0 7.054 48.764 -30.657 -3.064 -2.386 1 7.003 49.038 -31.196 -3.764 -2.486 1 7.003 49.038 -30.623 -3.005 -2.387 9 7.083 50.167 -31.211 -3.474 -2.447 9 6.286 38.505 -23.320 0.714 -1.612 9 6.286 38.051 -23.322 0.714 -1.642 9 6.286 38.051 -22.329 1.530 -1.688 4 6.005 36.091 -22.258 1.291 -1.745 0 7.622 6.717 -33.026 -4.872 -2.333 0 7.629 58.267 -33.313 -3.136 -2.359 11 7.269 58.267 -33.313 -3.136 -2.364 4 7.669 89.257 -3.33.31 -3.136 -2.364	t error Variance limit limit Z value P value 0 7.064 49.704 -30.64 -3.004 -2.386 0.017 1 7.003 49.708 -3.104 -2.386 0.013 1 7.003 49.008 -3.196 -3.246 -2.387 0.017 9 7.083 60.167 -3.1211 -3.447 -2.247 0.014 9 6.186 38.250 -23.322 0.914 -1.812 0.070 9 6.286 39.515 -23.323 0.914 -1.812 0.068 44 6.006 36.061 -22.258 1.530 -1.898 0.029 0 7.622 69.173 -3.3264 -2.473 0.020 0 7.622 69.173 -3.3264 -2.483 0.011 11 7.299 52.9617 -3.313 -3.135 -2.4268 0.014 14 7.669 69.257 -3.3131 -3.135

Favors Krill oil Favors Control

HDL-C

Vertice Vertice Vertice Vertice Vertice Berge et al., 2014 per 4.8, 7.442 2.033 5.773 2.733 12.162 3.097 0.002 Berge et al., 2014 per 4.8, 7.442 2.033 5.773 2.778 12.265 3.118 0.002 Berge et al., 2014 per 4.8 7.630 2.466 5.798 12.265 3.118 0.002 Borne et al., 2014 per 4.6 5.066 4.402 1.109 8.411 2.510 0.0112 Borne et al., 2014 per 4.6 5.066 4.402 1.108 9.077 2.489 0.014 0.003 Borne et al., 2014 per 4.6 5.066 4.201 1.048 9.077 2.489 0.003 0.003 Derme et al., 2014 per 4.8 5.066 4.201 1.048 2.081 0.003 0.003 0.003 Derme et al., 2014 per 4.97 7.377 2.246 2.000 1.048 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003	Study name			Statistics	with study rea	moved			D	fference in mea	ans (95% CI) with	study remove	d .
Bing set 1, 2014 (m+2+7) 7.42 (m+2+7) 2.403 2.408 8.773 8.773 2.733 2.733 12.162 2.735 0.007 1.155 0.002 0.002 Brigs et 1, 2014 (m+2+7) 7.43 2.408 8.398 2.774 12.265 3.116 0.002 Bring et 1, 2014 (m+2+7) 7.40 2.406 8.398 2.774 12.265 3.116 0.002 Bring et 1, 2014 (m+4, 9, 2.56) 2.106 4.422 1.169 9.411 2.510 0.012 Bring et 1, 2016 (m+4, 9, 5.67) 2.166 4.420 1.169 8.977 2.469 0.014 Bring et 1, 2016 (m+4, 9, 5.67) 2.168 4.420 1.169 5.003 2.324 0.014 Bring et 1, 2011 (m+4, 9 7.73 2.783 7.88 2.327 1.163 2.961 0.003 Unen et 1, 2011 (m+4, 9 7.97 2.38 5.749 2.301 1.985 0.985 0.003 Regressent et 1, 2015 (m+4, 9 7.93 2.443 5.469 2.401 1.586 0.985 0.003 Brigg et 1, 2014 (m+4, 9) 2.333 5.207 1.686 0.986 0.003 0.95		Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z value	P value					
Bit graft 1, 2014 (m + 24, 7) 7.33 2.389 3.76 2.770 1.218 3.098 0.002 Bit graft 1, 2014 (m + 24, 7) 7.433 2.389 3.76 2.778 1.2285 3.118 0.002 Bit graft 1, 2014 (m + 24, 7) 7.435 2.468 5.765 2.784 1.2285 3.118 0.002 Bit mast of 1, 2014 (m + 4, 5575 2.169 4.705 1.424 9.877 2.840 0.017 Bit mast of 1, 2014 (m + 4, 455 1.661 3.463 0.779 0.633 2.344 0.017 Remptsath et al., 2014 (m + 4) 4.455 1.661 3.463 0.779 0.633 2.344 0.017 Remptsath et al., 2013 (m + 4) 7.337 2.442 5.944 2.001 1.173 0.033 0.002 Itemet al. 2015 (m + 4) 7.337 2.442 5.944 2.001 1.173 0.033 0.002 0.003 0.002 Itemet al. 2015 (m + 4) 7.337 2.442 5.944 2.001 1.073 0.033 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003	Berge et al., 2014a (ref. 24)	7.442	2.403	5.773	2.733	12.152	3.097	0.002					- I
Berge stal. 2016 or kt. 89 7.30 2.418 8.389 2.794 12.286 3.118 0.002 Bunes et al. 2016 or kt. 9 5.205 2.106 4.422 1.109 9.411 2.510 0.012 Bunes et al. 2016 or kt. 9 5.205 2.106 4.422 1.109 9.411 2.510 0.012 Bunes et al. 2016 or kt. 9 5.205 2.106 4.422 1.109 9.817 2.448 0.011 Bunes et al. 2016 or kt. 9 7.30 2.748 7.858 2.322 1.1184 2.000 0.005 Rempresent et al. 2016 or kt. 9 7.30 2.748 7.858 2.327 1.1770 2.496 0.003 Uther et al. 2011 or kt. 97 7.31 2.442 5.546 2.607 1.0173 0.025 0.002 Rempresent et al. 2015 or kt. 97.02 2.343 6.449 2.401 1.568 0.003 0.50 9.7.69 0.002 2.7.69 0.002 2.7.69 0.002 0.003 0.005 0.002 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.005	Berge et al., 2014b (ref. 24)	7.433	2.399	5.756	2,730	12,135	3.098	0.002					
Bing et al. 2014 or k.49 7.607 2.608 5.796 2.788 12.225 3.118 0.002 Binnes et al. 2016 or k.49 5.606 2.606 4.001 1.434 9.827 2.617 0.003 Binnes et al. 2016 or k.49 5.606 2.606 4.001 1.434 9.827 2.617 0.003 Binnes et al. 2016 or k.49 7.807 2.848 0.001 0.003 0.001 Binnes et al. 2016 or k.49 7.807 2.848 2.324 0.003 0.001 Uhern et al. 2013 or k.49 7.807 2.848 2.324 0.003 0.002 Uhern et al. 2013 or k.49 7.307 2.448 5.646 2.501 1.773 3.028 0.002 Bargorsath et al. 2016 or k.49 7.377 2.448 5.646 2.601 1.773 3.028 0.002 Bargorsath et al. 2016 or k.49 7.377 2.448 5.646 2.601 1.773 3.028 0.002 Bargorsath et al. 2016 or k.49 7.377 2.448 5.449 2.641 1.848 3.068 0.002 Bargorsath et al. 2016 or k.496 7.123	Berge et al., 2014c (ref. 24)	7.530	2,416	5.839	2,794	12.265	3,116	0.002					
Durma et al. 2014 pret, 9 2.03 2.105 4.432 1.199 9.411 2.010 0.012 Durma et al. 2016 pret, 9 5.03 2.036 4.705 1.034 9.977 2.461 0.013 Durma et al. 2016 pret, 9 5.03 2.234 0.011 0.012 0.013 0.013 Durma et al. 2016 pret, 9 4.34 8.61 3.436 9.977 2.468 0.014 0.017 Durma et al. 2016 pret, 9 7.38 2.783 2.783 2.783 2.783 2.783 2.783 2.442 5.64 2.207 1.1683 2.985 0.003	Berge et al., 2014d (ref. 24)	7.507	2.408	5.796	2,788	12,225	3,118	0.002					
Bunker et al. 2016 pret. 40, 5676 2169 4.705 1.624 9.827 2.617 0.009 Bunker et al. 2016 pret. 40, 436 1.661 3.463 0.768 6.683 2.344 0.017 Bunker et al. 2016 pret. 40, 435 1.661 3.443 0.768 6.683 2.344 0.003 Half et al. 2016 pret. 40, 7030 2.374 5.686 2.377 11.683 2.646 0.003 Lobratio et al. 2015 pret. 40, 7337 2.442 5.646 2.601 11.773 3.028 0.002 Rempresent et al. 2016 pret. 40, 737.123 2.328 5.426 2.601 11.786 2.686 0.002 Brangersent et al. 2016 pret. 40, 993 3.43 5.449 2.001 19.88 2.686 0.002 Brangersent et al. 2016 pret. 40, 993 2.443 5.426 2.601 11.866 3.068 0.002 Brangersent et al. 2016 pret. 40, 997 2.117 3.028 0.002 27.50 0.002 27.50 Brangersent et al. 2016 pret. 40, 91 4.664 2.217 0.003 1.6647 <td>Bunea et al. 2014a (ref. 4)</td> <td>5,285</td> <td>2.105</td> <td>4.432</td> <td>1,159</td> <td>9,411</td> <td>2.510</td> <td>0.012</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Bunea et al. 2014a (ref. 4)	5,285	2.105	4.432	1,159	9,411	2.510	0.012					
Durbage et al. 2016; evt.4, 4, 4500 2020 4.201 1043 9.077 2.468 0.014 0.014 Maxie et al. 2016; evt.4, 4, 458 184 3.463 0.232 13.164 2.000 0.005 Maxie et al. 2016; evt.4, 9, 7.73 2.783 7.786 2.232 13.164 2.000 0.005 Maxie et al. 2011; evt.47, 7.071 2.386 2.371 11.770 2.484 0.003 Unive et al. 2011; evt.47, 7.071 2.386 2.432 2.431 15.85 2.985 0.003 Choristo et al. 2015; evt.48, 7.33 2.442 5.646 2.607 11.785 0.298 0.003 Brangersseth et al. 2015; evt.48, 7.33 2.435 5.486 2.401 11.885 0.865 0.003 Brangersseth et al. 2016; evt.48, 7.200 6.647 2.17 4.916 2.301 0.963 2.998 0.003 Statistic with study removed Englisic	Bunea et al. 2014b (ref. 4)	5.676	2,169	4.705	1.424	9.927	2.617	0.009					
Bunce at 1, 2014 pr.4.0 4.435 1.851 3.463 0.78 0.803 2.384 0.001 Wash et al., 2013 pr.4.39 7.38 7.83 7.84 2.202 1.154 2.200 0.001 Ramprass et al., 2013 pr.4.39 7.030 2.274 5.656 2.377 11.683 2.600 0.003 Lobratic ot al., 2013 pr.4.39 7.397 2.442 5.646 2.600 12.173 3.028 0.002 Remprass et al., 2016 pr.4.39 7.337 2.442 5.642 2.601 12.173 3.028 0.002 Bange cast al., 2016 pr.4.39 7.337 2.442 5.642 2.601 12.173 3.028 0.002 Bange cast al., 2016 pr.4.39 7.337 2.442 5.642 2.601 12.173 3.028 0.002 Bange cast al., 2016 pr.4.39 7.337 2.426 2.537 11.686 2.068 0.002 Bange cast al., 2016 pr.4.39 7.343 5.409 2.750 0.000 27.50 Bange cast al., 2016 pr.4.394 1.033	Bunea et al. 2014c (ref. 4)	5.060	2,050	4.201	1.043	9.077	2,469	0.014					
Name is 4, 2009 prt. 39 7.788 2.783 7.886 2.322 11.164 2.800 0.005 Represents 14, 2011 prt. 37 7.474 5.56 2.371 11.770 2.848 0.003 Unive ret, 2011 prt. 37 2.442 5.64 2.371 11.770 2.848 0.003 Represents 14, 2011 prt. 377 2.442 5.64 2.601 11.585 2.865 0.003 Represents 14, 2015 prt. 378 2.442 5.64 2.601 11.585 2.865 0.003 Represents 14, 2015 prt. 378 2.442 5.64 2.601 11.688 2.005 0.003 .6607 2.7.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 27.60 0.00 <t< td=""><td>Bunea et al., 2014d (ref. 4)</td><td>4,436</td><td>1,861</td><td>3.463</td><td>0.789</td><td>8.083</td><td>2.384</td><td>0.017</td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Bunea et al., 2014d (ref. 4)	4,436	1,861	3.463	0.789	8.083	2.384	0.017			-		
Stangerssen st. J. 2013 ev4.89 7.030 2.274 5.586 2.377 11 883 2.981 0.003 Ubmer et J. 2015 ev4.89 7.387 2.442 5.564 2.301 11770 2.344 0.003 Ubmer et J. 2015 ev4.89 7.387 2.442 5.564 2.601 12.173 3.028 0.003 Rampresent et J. 0.2016 ev4.89 7.387 2.442 5.564 2.601 12.173 3.028 0.003 6.647 2.217 4.918 2.301 10.983 2.988 0.003	Maki et al., 2009 (ret. 25)	7.738	2 763	7.636	2 322	13,154	2 800	0.005					
Universe et al. 2011 Operation Strate Constration	Ramprasath et al., 2013 (ref. 3	201 7.030	2.374	5.636	2.377	11.683	2.961	0.003					
Longing et al. 2015 invit #N 7.837 7.837 2.442 2.43 5.454 5.435 2.600 2.01 12.173 1.585 3.025 2.085 0.002 0.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 2.56.00 0.002 <td>Ulven et al. 2011 (ref. 27)</td> <td>7.071</td> <td>2 398</td> <td>5.749</td> <td>2 371</td> <td>11,770</td> <td>2 949</td> <td>0.003</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Ulven et al. 2011 (ref. 27)	7.071	2 398	5.749	2 371	11,770	2 949	0.003			-		
Status 2443 5.489 2.401 11.885 2.885 0.003 0.00 27.50 Rempressite et al., 2015 by etc. 28.71 2.217 4.916 2.301 10.963 2.988 0.003	Lobraico et al. 2015 (ref. 28)	7.387	2.442	5.964	2,600	12 173	3.025	0.002					
Respression et al., 2015 beet 29,7123 6,647 2.29 2.217 5.436 4.916 2.657 11.883 1.0953 2.033 2.998 0.003 0.003 1 1 0.002 2.7.50 1 0.00 27.50 Favors Krill oil Favors Contr Favors Krill oil Favors Contr Contraction Ofference in means (by, C] with study removed Benge et al., 2016 vrk.49 1.018 1.0248 0.000 <t< td=""><td>Ramprasath et al. 2015a ref.</td><td>2916.993</td><td>2 343</td><td>5.489</td><td>2.401</td><td>11,585</td><td>2 985</td><td>0.003</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Ramprasath et al. 2015a ref.	2916.993	2 343	5.489	2.401	11,585	2 985	0.003					
8.647 2.217 4.916 2.301 10.963 2.998 0.003 J <thj< th=""> J <thj< td=""><td>Ramprasath et al., 2015b (ret.</td><td>29 7.123</td><td>2.329</td><td>5.426</td><td>2 557</td><td>11,688</td><td>3.058</td><td>0.002</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thj<></thj<>	Ramprasath et al., 2015b (ret.	29 7.123	2.329	5.426	2 557	11,688	3.058	0.002					
Statution Link		6.647	2.217	4.916	2 301	10,993	2 998	0.003				- 1	
Barges et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.215 2.207 1.763 6.677 4.338 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.216 2.674 1.763 6.677 4.338 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.2163 2.674 1.7653 6.677 4.338 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.2163 2.674 4.311 2.652 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.2163 2.674 4.311 4.527 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.0163 4.267 2.0263 3.684 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.0123 1.0124 4.2024 4.2024 4.0000 0.0000 Berge et al. 2014 (m4.34) 1.0123 1.0124 4.2024 4.2024 4.2024 4.0000 0.0000		0,047		4.010	2.001	10.000	2.000	0.000	-55.00	-27.50	0.00	27.50	55.00
Statistic with study removed Statistic with study removed Difference in means (MS), C1) with study removed Point Standard Nemportal Statistic with study removed Point	10												
Bundard Part 12, 2014 (m4.2, 4) Standard 12, 2014 (m4.2, 4)	Study name			Statistic	s with study re	moved			,	Difference in m	eans (95% CI) wit	h study remov	ed
Barge at 12014, ref. 4.9 -12.188 2.20.4 7.862 -17.663 -6.572 -4.339 0.000 Barge at 12014, ref. 4.9 -10.103 4.606 21.233 -20.014 -4.011 -2.830 0.005 Barge at 12014, ref. 4.9 -11.016 4.339 11.829 -20.014 -4.011 -2.830 0.005 Barge at 12014, ref. 4.9 -11.016 4.339 11.829 -20.014 -2.011 0.005 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -11.015 3.834 14.701 -7.1540 -4.501 0.000 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -14.015 3.834 14.701 -21.540 -4.500 -3.681 0.000 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -11.0760 3.440 14.743 -21.254 -3.583 0.000 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -13.215 3.442 14.725 -2.238 -2.364 0.000 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -13.215 3.622 15.66 -2.200 -3.684 0.000 Burnes at 22014, ref. 4.9 -13.215		Point	Standard error	Variance	Lower	Upper limit	Z value	P value					
Berge stal. 20140 ext.89 -13.043 4.608 21.233 -22.074 -4.011 -2.830 0.005 Berge stal. 20140 ext.89 -12.0138 4.301 -2.830 0.005 Berge stal. 20140 ext.89 -10.756 2.475 8.274 -24.394 -13.118 -6.521 0.005 Berge stal. 20140 ext.89 -16.756 2.475 8.274 -24.394 -13.118 -6.521 0.000 Burnes stal. 20140 ext.49 -14.014 3.834 14.707 -21.648 -6.520 -3.661 0.000 Burnes stal. 20140 ext.49 -13.116 -21.237 -2.623 -3.661 0.000 Burnes stal. 20140 ext.49 -13.216 -21.427 -6.228 -3.661 0.000 Ramprosath st.81, 20140 ext.49 -12.307 1.4763 -22.007 6.862 -3.664 0.000 Ramprosath st.81, 2013 ext.490 1.50.04 -22.318 -7	Berge et al., 2014a (ref. 24)	-12.168	2.804	7.862	-17.663	-6.672	-4.339	0.000	1	1		- E	1
Beng etail.2014(eta: eta) -12.018 4.339 118.829 -0.053 -3.614 -2.770 0.006 Bunne etail.2014(eta: eta) -16.75 2.676 8.274 -24.394 -4.6521 0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta: eta) -16.15 3.634 14.701 -21.630 -6501 -0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta: eta) -16.15 3.634 14.071 -21.630 -6500 -3.661 0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta: eta) -13.816 3.424 -21.287 -6520 -0.661 0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta) -13.816 3.442 -21.287 -6520 -0.661 0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta) -13.816 3.442 14.768 -21.847 -62.86 0.000 Bunne etail.2014(eta) 13.802 15.665 -22.007 -6.442 -3.864 0.000 Rampresant et al.2019(eta: #8) -14.226 3.862 15.660 -22.108 -7.276 -3.876 0.000 Under et al.2015(eta: #8) -14.225 3.762 15.660	Berge et al., 2014b (ref. 24)	-13.043	4.608	21.233	-22.074	-4.011	-2.830	0.005			-		
Berge stall, 2014d (wf.4) -16.756 2.475 8.274 -4.394 -13.118 -6.521 0.000 Burnes stall, 2014d (wf.4) -16.116 3.834 14.701 -21.630 -6.600 -3.681 0.000 Burnes stall, 2014d (wf.4) -14.014 3.834 14.607 -21.648 -6.600 -3.681 0.000 Burnes stall, 2014d (wf.4) -14.014 3.834 14.707 -21.648 -6.520 -3.681 0.000 Burnes stall, 2014d (wf.4) -13.181 3.842 14.763 -21.297 -6.233 -3.681 0.000 Burnes stall, 2014d (wf.4) -13.181 3.842 14.763 -22.07 -6.842 -3.564 0.000 Ramprosath stall, 2014d (wf.4) -13.815 3.842 14.763 -22.07 -6.842 -3.654 0.000 Unrent stall, 2014 (wf.4) -13.815 3.874 15.004 -22.318 -7.716 -3.869 0.000 -6.449 -3.869 0.000 -6.449 -3.878 0.000 -6.849 -3.878 0.000	Berge et al., 2014c (ref. 24)	-12.018	4.339	18.829	-20.523	-3.614	-2.770	0.006			-		
Bunes et al. 2014 (m+4, 4) - 14.115 3.834 14.071 - 21.630 - 65.00 - 3.681 0.000 Bunes et al. 2014 (m+4, 4) - 14.04 3.834 14.697 - 21.548 - 65.00 - 3.681 0.000 Bunes et al. 2014 (m+4, 4) - 13.760 3.840 14.748 - 21.287 - 65.23 - 3.563 0.000 Maki et al. 2009 (m+4, 9) - 14.254 3.000 15.865 - 22.007 - 6.642 - 3.656 0.000 Maki et al. 2019 (m+4, 4) - 13.750 3.874 15.004 - 22.318 - 7.134 - 3.802 0.000 Maki et al. 2019 (m+4, 4) - 14.255 3.802 15.865 - 22.007 - 6.642 - 3.656 0.000 Libert et al. 2019 (m+4, 4) - 14.255 3.874 15.004 - 22.318 - 7.134 - 3.802 0.000 Libert et al. 2019 (m+4, 4) - 14.255 3.874 15.004 - 22.103 - 7.276 - 3.876 0.000	Berge et al., 2014d (ref. 24)	-18.756	2.876	8.274	-24.394	-13.118	-6.521	0.000					
Buneset al., 20140 (m4.4) -14.034 3.834 14.697 -21.548 -65.00 -3.661 0.000 Buneset al., 2014, (m4.4) -13.760 3.840 14.748 -21.267 -6.233 -3.583 0.000 Mail et al., 2016 (m4.4) -13.815 3.842 14.763 -21.247 -6.226 -3.656 0.000 Mail et al., 2019 (m4.8) -14.324 3.900 15.385 -22.007 -6.642 -3.656 0.000 Ulene et al., 2011 (m4.87) -14.255 3.962 15.660 -22.100 -6.649 -3.589 0.000 Lobrato et al., 2015 (m4.38) -14.223 3.863 15.079 -21.684 6.572 -3.676 0.000	Bunea et al., 2014a (ref. 4)	-14.115	3.834	14.701	-21.630	-6.600	-3.681	0.000					
Bunas et al., 2014 (#M4, 4) 13,760 3,840 14,743 -21,287 -6,253 -3,583 0,000 Mail et al., 2006 (#M4, 4) 13,186 3,442 14,763 -21,347 -6,256 0,000 Mail et al., 2009 (#M4, 89) -14,324 3,800 15,345 -22,007 -6,642 -3,656 0,000 Mail et al., 2019 (#M4, 89) -14,324 3,802 15,604 -22,318 -7,134 -3,802 0,000 Under et al., 2019 (#M4, 89) -14,225 3,802 15,604 -22,318 -7,7134 -3,802 0,000 -4,429 Lobraco et al., 2019 (#M4, 89) -14,225 3,802 15,604 -22,318 -7,7134 -3,809 0,000 -4,429 Lobraco et al., 2019 (#M4, 89) -14,225 3,708 14,425 -2,163 -7,276 -3,876 0,000 -4,429	Bunea et al., 2014b (ref. 4)	-14.034	3.834	14.697	-21,548	-6.520	-3.661	0.000			-		
Bunas et al. 2014 (MK-4) -13.816 3.842 14.763 -21.347 -6.286 -3.596 0.000 Mali et al. 2009 (ML 28) -14.225 3.802 15.865 -22.007 -6.642 -3.864 0.000 Managements at al. 2015 (ML 28) -14.275 3.874 15.004 -22.318 -7.134 -3.802 0.000 Ulen et al. 2011 (ML 27) -14.295 3.982 15.680 -22.103 -6.489 -3.889 0.000 Lobratico et al. 2015 (ML 28) -14.272 3.786 14.425 -22.163 -7.276 -3.876 0.000 Mampressity et al. 2015 (ML 28) 3.883 15.079 -2.169 -6.672 -3.878 0.000	Bunea et al. 2014c (ref. 4)	-13,760	3.840	14,748	-21.287	-6.233	-3.583	0.000			-		
Mail et al., 2009 ext.39 -14.324 3.900 15.365 -22.07 -6.642 -3.654 0.000 Ramprasamin et al., 2013 str.4255 3.874 15.064 -22.318 -7.114 -3.802 0.000 Unent et al., 2011 str.477 -14.295 3.902 15.864 -22.318 -7.114 -3.869 0.000 Lobraco et al., 2015 str.472 3.786 14.425 -22.163 -7.276 -3.876 0.000 Lobraco et al., 2015 str.428 3.838 16.079 -14.844 -6.572 -3.876 0.000 -4.649	Bunea et al., 2014d (ref.4)	-13.816	3.842	14,763	-21.347	-6.286	-3.596	0.000			-		
Rampreseth et al., 2013 (wit. No14.225 3.874 15.004 -22.318 -7.134 -3.802 0.000 Unen et al., 2011 (wit. No14.225 3.962 15.860 -22.100 -6.469 -3.860 0.000 Diotaco et al., 2015 (wit. No14.270 3.768 14.462 -22.163 -7.276 -3.876 0.000 Rampreseth et al., 2015 ewit. 299-14.283 3.883 15.079 -21.894 -6.672 -3.678 0.000	Maki et al. 2009 cref. 250	-14.324	3,920	15 365	-22.007	-8 642	-3.654	0.000			-		
Unen et al., 2011 vm4.379 - 14.295 3.982 15.860 - 22.100 - 6.489 - 3.589 0.000 Lobraico 44., 2015 vm4.389 - 14.720 3.766 14.425 - 22.163 - 7.776 - 3.876 0.000	Ramorasath et al. 2013 (ref. 2	14 726	3.874	15.004	-22.318	.7.134	-3.802				-		
Lobraico et al., 2015 evel. 280 - 14.720 3.798 14.425 - 22.163 -7.276 - 3.876 0.000 Rempreseth et al., 2015e.evel. 291-14.283 3.883 15.079 -21.894 -8.672 -3.678 0.000	Ulven et al. 2011 (ref. 27)							0.000					
Rampraesth et al. 2015a evel. 29 - 14.283 3.883 15.079 - 21.894 - 6.672 - 3.676 0.000	Lobraico et al. 2015 (ref. 28)	-14 298	3 987	15 860	-22 100	-R 489	-3 589	0.000					
	Providence at any \$010 free set	-14.295	3.982	15.860	-22.100	-6.489	-3.589	0.000					
Ramprasath et al. 2016b (ref. 29) -14 225 3 898 15 192 -21 854 -5 685 -3 650 0 000	Ramprasath at al. 2015a and	-14.295	3.982	15.860 14.425 15.079	-22.100 -22.163	-6.489 -7.276 -8.672	-3.589 -3.876	0.000			Ŧ		
14009 3751 1400 (*****) 1400 3751 14000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	Ramprasath et al., 2015a cref.	-14.295 -14.720 289 -14.283	3.982 3.796 3.883 3.898	15.860 14.425 15.079	-22.100 -22.163 -21.894	-6.489 -7.276 -6.672	-3.589 -3.876 -3.678	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000			Ŧ		
	Ramprasath et al., 2015a (ref. Ramprasath et al., 2015b (ref.	-14.295 -14.720 29) -14.283 29) -14.225 -14.025	3.982 3.798 3.883 3.898 3.754	15.860 14.425 15.079 16.192 14.020	-22.100 -22.163 -21.894 -21.864 -21.378	-6.489 -7.276 -6.672 -6.585 -8.874	-3.589 -3.876 -3.678 -3.650 -3.736	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000			Į		

Favors Krill oil Favors Control

Figure 3 Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for the effects of krill oil supplementation on plasma lipid concentrations. Abbreviations: HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

Table 4 Meta-anal	ysis of the effects of krill oil	on plasma l	ipid concentrations in the su	ubgroups of	f studies with different d	osages and	durations of supplementatio	-
	Total cholesterol (mg/	(dL)	LDL-C (mg/dL)		HDL-C (mg/dL)		Triglycerides (mg/dL	
	WMD (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	WMD (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	WMD (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	WMD (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value
Dosage <2 g/d	-11.12 (-27.53 to 5.29)	0.184	-18.85 (-42.32 to 4.61)	0.115	6.44 (-1.06 to 13.95)	0.092	-21.31 (-26.63 to -16.00)	<0.001
Dosage $\geq 2 \text{ g/d}$	-5.55 (-19.80 to 8.69)	0.445	-14.33 (-31.98 to 3.32)	0.112	7.25 (1.27 to 13.24)	0.018	-12.16(-17.11 to -7.21)	<0.001
Duration <12 wk	3.86 (—2.17 to 9.89)	0.210	3.91 (—1.14 to 8.95)	0.129	2.29 (0.24 to 4.33)	0.028	—4.60 (—16.82 to 7.61)	0.460
Duration \geq 12 wk	-24.12 (-45.37 to -2.86)	0.026	-37.14 (-64.96 to -9.31)	0.00	12.53 (3.44 to 21.63)	0.007	-17.67 (-27.15 to -8.19)	<0.001
Abbreviations: LDL-(C, low-density lipoprotein chole:	sterol; HDL-C	high-density lipoprotein chole	sterol; WMD,	weighted mean difference.			



Figure 4 **Funnel plots detailing publication bias in the studies selected for analysis. Trim-and-fill method was used to impute for potentially missing studies.** Open circles represent observed published studies; closed circles represent imputed unpublished studies. *Abbreviations:* HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

Table 5 Publication bias in the meta-analysis of studies rep	orting the effects of krill oil	supplementation on plasma lipids
--	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

Plasma lipid	Begg's rank correlation test			Egger's linear regression test				
	Kendall's tau ^a	z value	P value ^b	Intercept (95%CI)	t	df	P value ^b	No. of studies ^c
Total cholesterol	-0.46	2.30	0.021	-3.00 (-5.20 to -0.80)	3.82	9	0.004	N/A
LDL-C	-0.55	2.74	0.006	-4.15 (-6.95 to -1.34)	3.22	12	0.007	44
HDL-C	0.59	2.96	0.003	2.35 (0.21 to 4.49)	2.40	12	0.034	91
Triglycerides	-0.07	0.33	0.743	0.59 (-0.72 to 1.89)	0.98	12	0.346	105
Abbraviations: HDLC high density lineprotein chalesteral: LDLC law density lineprotein chalesteral								

Abbreviations: HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. ^aWith continuity correction.

^bTwo-tailed.

^cNumber of studies (calculated using the fail-safe N method) required to make the *P* value nonsignificant.

(SREBP)-2, all involved in triacylglycerol and fatty acid catabolism in skeletal muscle and liver.³¹

A higher bioavailability of long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and a higher therapeutic effect¹ has been observed in studies comparing the efficacy of krill oil with that of fish oil.^{5,26,27,32} The association between long-chain n-3 fatty acids and phospholipids is responsible for lipogenic effects of krill oil, while the association between n-3 fatty acids and TGs is culpable for the lipogenic effects of fish oil.³ Phospholipids are amphipathic molecules that do not require emulsification via bile salts like the hydrophobic TGs, hence they are able to move easily across the intestinal wall and cell membranes.⁵ Therefore, the association between longchain n-3 fatty acids and phospholipids might have an important role in improving the bioavailability and absorption of fatty acids through the intestinal wall and enhancing the n-3:n-6 ratio.²⁷ The different effects of krill oil as compared with fish oil on lipid parameters in experimental studies might be also explained by the different effects of these oils on the secretion of very lowdensity lipoprotein and the distinct modulation of gene expression in the intestine and the liver.³³ Moreover, experimental studies showed that krill oil is capable of upregulating the activity of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and downregulating the activity of pathways involved in lipid and cholesterol synthesis and hepatic glucose production, while fish oil did not modulate the same metabolic pathways regulated by krill oil.³⁰

While fish oil upregulates the cholesterol synthesis pathway, the effect of krill oil is the opposite.³⁰ An experimental study in rats fed a krill-oil-enriched diet has shown that krill oil might have the capacity to inhibit de novo lipogenesis by decreasing the activity of both cytosolic acetyl coenzyme A carboxylase and the mitochondrial citrate carrier and to increase the oxidation of fatty acids by decreasing the fatty acid synthetase activity.^{34,35} Another unique feature of krill oil is related to its powerful antioxidant astaxanthin, which has a slight, but not significant, glucose-lowering effect on plasma lipid concentrations.³⁶ Another important antioxidant found in krill oil is vitamin E, known for its capacity to protect biological membranes against lipid peroxidation.³⁷ Nevertheless, krill oil is a rich source of high-quality protein in the range of 60% to 65% dry weight, including all 9 essential amino acids required by adults.³⁸

One study showed that daily consumption of 3 g of krill oil formulated with 543 mg of EPA and DHA raises the plasma EPA and DHA concentrations exactly the same as intake of fish oil containing 864 mg of EPA and DHA.²⁷ Another new study in healthy subjects showed that the proportion of EPA and DHA in plasma phospholipid fatty acids measured during a 72-hour follow-up as an incremental area under the curve was significantly larger after krill oil ingestion than after fish oil ingestion.⁹ The adverse effects observed after krill oil consumption are observed only in individuals with known allergies to different crustaceans or shellfish, such as shrimp or crabs.^{1,39} Moreover, patients who take krill oil and anticoagulant/antiplatelet drugs simultaneously might have an increased risk of bleeding.

The present meta-analysis has some limitations. Most of the included studies had a small number of participants and were heterogeneous with regard to the characteristics of patients. Moreover, the lipid profile and content of krill oil could fluctuate appreciably according to conditions such as the krill species used, the age of the krill, the season harvested, and the delay time between collection and freezing. The effects of krill on small, dense LDL subfractions and HDL function do not seem to have been investigated. The function of HDL may be even more relevant than its measured levels.¹³ However, the effect of krill oil on TG and HDL-C levels suggests there will be an improvement in small, dense LDL subfractions.⁴⁰ The baseline dietary data and the prescribed diet were not clearly described in all the studies included. In this meta-analysis, the most significant effects on plasma lipids were reported in the treatment arms in the study by Bunea et al.⁴ Although the impact of each treatment arm on the overall estimated effect size was explored in the sensitivity analysis, another attempt was made to assess the impact of this study on the effect size by removing all treatment arms in this study from the meta-analysis. The results revealed that, although the TG-lowering effect of krill oil remains significant, the effects on LDL-C and

HDL-C were no longer evident when the study of Bunea et al.⁴ was excluded. This highlights the need for future studies to better evaluate whether krill oil supplementation exerts a LDL-lowering effect. Finally, the value of adding krill oil to conventional and novel lipid-lowering medications⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ remains unknown.

CONCLUSION

The present meta-analysis of RCTs suggests the efficacy of krill oil supplementation in lowering plasma concentrations of LDL-C and TGs as well as increasing those of HDL-C. Further, well-designed clinical studies with higher numbers of participants are necessary to assess the impact of krill oil supplementation on other indices of cardiometabolic risk and on the risk of cardiovascular outcomes. The value of adding krill oil to statins and other routinely administered lipid-lowering therapies is also open to question.

Acknowledgments

Funding/support. No external funding was received for this work.

Declaration of interest. The authors have no relevant interests to declare.

REFERENCES

- Kwantes JM, Grundmann O. A brief review of krill oil history, research, and the commercial market. J Diet Suppl. 2015;12:23–35.
- Deutsch L. Evaluation of the effect of Neptune Krill Oil on chronic inflammation and arthritic symptoms. J Am Coll Nutr. 2007;26:39–48.
- Burri L, Johnsen L. Krill products: an overview of animal studies. Nutrients. 2015;7:3300–3321.
- Bunea R, El Farrah K, Deutsch L. Evaluation of the effects of Neptune Krill Oil on the clinical course of hyperlipidemia. Altern Med Rev. 2004;9:420–428.
- Sampalis F, Bunea R, Pelland MF, et al. Evaluation of the effects of Neptune Krill Oil on the management of premenstrual syndrome and dysmenorrhea. Alternative Med Rev. 2003;8:171–179.
- Xiao SU, Tanalgo P, Bustos M, et al. The effect of krill oil and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on human osteosarcoma cell proliferation and migration. Curr Drug Targets. 2015;16. doi:10.2174/1389450116666150107152206.
- Ierna M, Kerr A, Scales H, et al. Supplementation of diet with krill oil protects against experimental rheumatoid arthritis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2010;11:136. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-136.
- Salem N Jr, Kuratko CN. A reexamination of krill oil bioavailability studies. Lipids Health Dis. 2014;13:137. doi:10.1186/1476-511X-13-137.
- Köhler A, Sarkkinen E, Tapola N, et al. Bioavailability of fatty acids from krill oil, krill meal and fish oil in healthy subjects – a randomized, single-dose, cross-over trial. Lipids Health Dis. 2015;14:19. doi:10.1186/s12944-015-0015-4.
- Cicero AFG, Colletti A. Krill oil: evidence of a new source of polyunsaturated fatty acids with high bioavailability. Clin Lipidol. 2015;10:1–4.
- 11. Krill oil monograph. Altern Med Rev. 2010;15:84-86.
- Kris-Etherton PM, Fleming JA. Emerging nutrition science on fatty acids and cardiovascular disease: nutritionists' perspectives. Adv Nutr. 2015;6(suppl): 3265–3375.
- Otocka-Kmiecik A, Mikhailidis DP, Nicholls SJ, et al. Dysfunctional HDL: a novel important diagnostic and therapeutic target in cardiovascular disease? Prog Lipid Res. 2012;51:314–324.

- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535. doi:10.1136/bmj.b2535.
- Higgins, JPT, Green S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. London, England: The Cochrane Collaboration; 2011.
- Borenstein M, Hedges L, Higgins J, et al. Comprehensive Meta-analysis. Version 2. Englewood, NJ: Biostat; 2005:104.
- Michalska-Kasiczak M, Sahebkar A, Mikhailidis DP, et al. Analysis of vitamin D levels in patients with and without statin-associated myalgia – a systematic review and meta-analysis of 7 studies with 2420 patients. Int J Cardiol. 2015;178:111–116.
- Ferretti G, Bacchetti T, Sahebkar A. Effect of statin therapy on paraoxonase-1 status: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 25 clinical trials. Prog Lipid Res. 2015;60:50–73.
- Greenhouse JB Iyengar S. Sensitivity analyses and diagnostics. In: Cooper H, Hedges LV, Valentine JC, eds. The Handbook of Research Synthesis. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation; 1994:383–398.
- Sahebkar A. Does *PPARgamma2* gene Pro12Ala polymorphism affect nonalcoholic fatty liver disease risk? Evidence from a meta-analysis. DNA Cell Biol. 2013;32:188–198.
- Banach M, Serban C, Sahebkar A, et al. Impact of statin therapy on coronary plaque composition: a systematic review and meta-analysis of virtual histology intravascular ultrasound studies. BMC Med. 2015;13:229. doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0459-4.
- Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2000;56:455–463.
- Duval S, Tweedie R. A nonparametric "trim and fill" method of accounting for publication bias in meta-analysis. J Am Stats Assoc. 2000;95:89–98.
- Berge K, Musa-Veloso K, Harwood M, et al. Krill oil supplementation lowers serum triglycerides without increasing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in adults with borderline high or high triglyceride levels. Nutr Res. 2014;34:126–133.
- Maki KC, Reeves MS, Farmer M, et al. Krill oil supplementation increases plasma concentrations of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids in overweight and obese men and women. Nutr Res. 2009;29:609–615.
- Ramprasath VR, Eyal I, Zchut S, et al. Enhanced increase of omega-3 index in healthy individuals with response to 4-week n-3 fatty acid supplementation from krill oil versus fish oil. Lipids Health Dis. 2013;12:178. doi:10.1186/1476-511X-12-178.
- Ulven SM, Kirkhus B, Lamglait A, et al. Metabolic effects of krill oil are essentially similar to those of fish oil but at lower dose of EPA and DHA, in healthy volunteers. Lipids. 2011;46:37–46.
- Lobraico JM, DiLello LC, Butler AD, et al. Effects of krill oil on endothelial function and other cardiovascular risk factors in participants with type 2 diabetes, a randomized controlled trial. BMJ Open Diab Res Care. 2015;3:e000107. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2015-000107.
- Ramprasath VR, Eyal I, Zchut S, et al. Supplementation of krill oil with high phospholipid content increases sum of EPA and DHA in erythrocytes compared with low phospholipid krill oil. Lipids Health Dis. 2015;14:142. doi:10.1186/ s12944-015-0142-y.
- Burri L, Berge K, Wibrand K, et al. Differential effects of krill oil and fish oil on the hepatic transcriptome in mice. Front Genet. 2011;2:45. doi:10.3389/ fgene.2011.00045.
- Gessner DK, Grone B, Rosenbaum S, et al. Effect of dietary fish oil on the expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism in liver and skeletal muscle of lactating sows. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berlin). 2016;100:337–347.
- Salem N Jr, Kuratko CN. A reexamination of krill oil bioavailability studies. Lipids Health Dis. 2014;13:137. doi:10.1186/1476-511X-13-137.
- Tillander V, Bjørndal B, Burri L, et al. Fish oil and krill oil supplementations differentially regulate lipid catabolic and synthetic pathways in mice. Nutr Metab (London). 2014;11:20. doi:10.1186/1743-7075-11-20.
- Ferramosca A, Conte L, Zara V. A krill oil supplemented diet reduces the activities of the mitochondrial tricarboxylate carrier and of the cytosolic lipogenic enzymes in rats. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berlin). 2012;96:295–306.
- Ferramosca A, Conte A, Burri L, et al. A krill oil supplemented diet suppresses hepatic steatosis in high-fat fed rats. PloS One. 2012;7:e38797. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0038797.
- Ursoniu S, Sahebkar A, Serban M-C, et al. Lipid profile and glucose changes after supplementation with astaxanthin: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Arch Med Sci. 2015;11:253–266.
- 37. Tou JC, Jaczynski J, Chen YC. Krill for human consumption: nutritional value and potential health benefits. Nutr Rev. 2007;65:63–77.
- Chen YC, Tou J, Jaczynski J. Amino acid and mineral composition of protein and other components and their recovery yields from whole Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) using isoelectric solubilization/precipitation. J Food Sci. 2009; 74:H31–H39.
- Bengtson Nash SM, Schlabach M, Nichols PD. A nutritional-toxicological assessment of Antarctic krill oil versus fish oil dietary supplements. Nutrients. 2014;6:3382–3402.

- Mikhailidis DP, Elisaf M, Rizzo M, et al. "European panel on low density lipoprotein (LDL) subclasses": a statement on the pathophysiology, atherogenicity and clinical significance of LDL subclasses. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2011;9:531–532.
- 41. Sahebkar A, Chew GT, Watts GF. Recent advances in pharmacotherapy for hypertriglyceridemia. Prog Lipid Res. 2014;56:47–66.
- Sahebkar A, Watts GF. New LDL-cholesterol lowering therapies: pharmacology, clinical trials, and relevance to acute coronary syndromes. Clin Ther. 2013;35:1082–1098.
- Dragan S, Serban MC, Banach M. Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin 9 inhibitors: an emerging lipid-lowering therapy? J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther. 2015;20:157–168.
- Banach M, Aronow WS, Serbary C, et al. Lipids, blood pressure and kidney update 2014. Pharmacol Res. 2015;95–96:111–125.